UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,675	09/19/2006	Franciscus Antonius Damen	M03B322	2856
20411 The BOC Grou	7590 09/09/200 p. Inc.	8	EXAMINER	
575 MOUNTA	ÎN AVENUE		DEUBLE, MARK A	
MURRAY HILL, NJ 07974-2082			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/593,675	DAMEN, FRANCISCUS ANTONIUS				
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARK A. DEUBLE	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,11,14,15 and 20-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-10,12,13 and 16-19 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/19/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/593,675 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in the United Kingdom on March 19, 2004. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the British application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment of claim 20 in which first and second servo arrangements are arranged to synchronously change the drive to which *each* segment is connected must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The drawings only show an embodiment with first and second servo arrangements arranged to change the drive to which one of the segments is connected. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

Application/Control Number: 10/593,675 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The drawings and written description fail to show or discuss an embodiment having first and second servo arrangements are arranged to synchronously change the drive to which *each* segment is connected must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The drawings only show an embodiment with first and second servo arrangements arranged to change the drive to which one of the segments is connected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3651

6. Claims 1, 11, 14-15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fix (US 6,112,880).

Fix shows a conveyor system with in inlet conveyor 4 with a first drive, a first star wheel 1, a second star wheel 5, and an outlet conveyor 6 with a second drive that convey articles from a first station to a second station. The first star wheel includes axially spaced first and second segments 10/11 that each have perimeters with a plurality of article engaging recesses 13 spaced around the periphery thereof to receive articles to be conveyed. The segments are rotated about a common axis 21 at different speed by a control means 30-38 that controls their rotation so as to avoid clashing between the segments and between the conveyed articles. Thus, Fix shows all the structure required by claims 1, 11, 14-15, and 21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fix.

Fix shows generally all the structure required by the claims, however it is unclear what speeds the first and second conveyors move relative to each other. However, the second conveyor would have to move at a speed at least equal to the speed of first conveyor to avoid backups on the first conveyor but that it could also move at speed faster than the first conveyor to match the speed of a downstream packaging device. Thus it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3651

ordinary skill in the art to drive the first and second conveyors at the same speed or at different speeds as required by claims 22-23.

In regard to claim 24-28, it should be noted that Fix has a second star wheel 5, but no third star wheel for transferring articles from the first star wheel to the second conveyor. However, the use of multiple star wheels of varying sizes for transferring objects to downstream conveyors is well known in the art and the use of more star wheels in order to change the delivery direction of the conveyed objects would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-10, 12-13, and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK A. DEUBLE whose telephone number is (571)272-6912. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,675 Page 6

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark A. Deuble/ Examiner Art Unit 3651

md